3.4 Extended and Contracted Ideals in Rings of Fractions

Let A be a ring and let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of A . Throughout this section let

$$f: A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$$
, $a \mapsto a/1$.

We study extension and contraction of ideals with respect to this homomorphism.

Put

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ I \mid I \lhd A \text{ and } I = I^{ec} \},\$$

the set of contracted ideals of $\,A\,$ and

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ J \mid J \triangleleft S^{-1}A \text{ and } J = J^{ce} \},\$$

the set of ${\rm extended} \ {\rm ideals} \ {\rm of} \ \ S^{-1}A$.

Consider $I \lhd A$. Then I may be regarded as an A-submodule of A, so we may form the module of fractions $S^{-1}I$, and make the identification:

$$S^{-1}I \equiv \{ a/s \in S^{-1}A \mid a \in I, s \in S \}.$$

Claim:
$$I^{e} = S^{-1}I$$
.

Proof: $I^{e} = \langle f(I) \rangle_{ideal}$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i f(x_i) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, y_i \in S^{-1}A, x_i \in I \quad (\forall i) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i/s_i) (x_i/1) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a_i \in A, \\ s_i \in S, x_i \in I \quad (\forall i) \right\}.$$

Clearly, if $a \in I$, $s \in S$ then $a/s = (1/s) (a/1) \in I^e$, so $S^{-1}I \subseteq I^e$.

Conversely, if

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i/s_i) (x_i/1) \in I^{e}$$

then, putting $s = s_1 \ldots s_n$ and

$$t_i = s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_{i+1} \dots s_n \qquad (\forall i) ,$$

$$\alpha = \sum \left(a_i x_i / s_i \right) = \sum \left(a_i x_i t_i / s \right)$$
$$= \left(\sum a_i x_i t_i \right) / s$$
$$\in S^{-1}I,$$

since $\sum a_i x_i t_i \in I$. Thus $I^e \subseteq S^{-1}I$, and the claim is proved.

Theorem: (1) $\mathcal{E} = \{ \text{ all ideals of } S^{-1}A \}$. (2) If $I \lhd A$ then $I^{\operatorname{ec}} = \bigcup (I:s) ,$ $s \in S$ in which case, $I^{\,\mathrm{ec}} \,=\, A \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad I \cap S \,\neq \, \emptyset \;.$

Theorem continued:

(3)
$$C = \{ I \lhd A \mid (\forall s \in S) \quad s + I \ is not a zero divisor in A/I \}$$
.

(4) Prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$ are in a one-one correspondence with prime ideals of A disjoint from S , under

$$P \mapsto S^{-1}P \qquad (\forall \text{ prime ideals } P) .$$

(5) S^{-1} commutes with formation of finite sums, products, intersections and radicals.

Proof: (1) Consider $J \triangleleft S^{-1}A$. If $\alpha \in J$ then

$$\alpha = x/s \quad (\exists x \in A, s \in S),$$

SO

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= x/1 = (s/1)(x/s) = (s/1) \alpha \in J, \\ \text{giving } x \in f^{-1}(J) = J^{\mathsf{c}} \text{, so that} \\ \alpha &= (1/s)(x/1) = (1/s)f(x) \in (J^{\mathsf{c}})^{\mathsf{e}} = J^{\mathsf{ce}}. \\ \text{Thus } J \subseteq J^{\mathsf{ce}} \text{, and, of course, reverse set} \end{split}$$

containment holds, proving all ideals of $S^{-1}A$ are extended.

(2) Suppose
$$I \triangleleft A$$
. Then, for all $x \in A$,
 $x \in I^{ec} \iff x \in (S^{-1}I)^{c}$ (by the Claim)
 $\iff x/1 \in S^{-1}I$
 $\iff x/1 = a/s$ ($\exists a \in I, s \in S$)
 $\iff (xs - a)t = 0$ ($\exists a \in I, s, t \in S$)

$$\iff xst = at \qquad (\exists a \in I , s, t \in S)$$

 $\iff xu \in I \qquad (\exists u \in S)$

(since S is multiplicatively closed)

$$\iff x \in \bigcup_{u \in S} (I:u) .$$

Hence

$$I^{\operatorname{ec}} = \bigcup_{u \in S} (I:u) ,$$

and thus

$$I^{\mathsf{ec}} = A \iff A = \bigcup_{u \in S} (I:u)$$

$$\iff u = 1 u \in I \qquad (\exists u \in S)$$

$$\iff I \cap S \neq \emptyset.$$

(3) If
$$I \lhd A$$
 then
 $I \in \mathcal{C} \iff I = I^{ec} \iff I \supseteq I^{ec}$
 $\iff I \supseteq (S^{-1}I)^{c}$

(by the earlier Claim)

$$\iff f^{-1}(S^{-1}I) \subseteq I$$

$$\iff (\forall x \in I, s \in S, y \in A)$$
$$x/s = y/1 \implies y \in I$$
$$\iff (\forall x \in I, s \in S, y \in A)$$
$$(\exists t \in S) \ (x - ys)t = 0 \implies y \in I$$

(4) Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{1} = \{ P \triangleleft A \mid P \text{ prime }, P \cap S = \emptyset \},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{2} = \{ Q \triangleleft S^{-1}A \mid Q \text{ prime } \},$$
and
$$\Phi: \mathcal{P}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2}$$
where
$$\Phi(P) = P^{e} = S^{-1}P \quad (\forall P \in \mathcal{P}_{1})$$

$$\Psi(\Gamma) = \Gamma = S \Gamma \quad (\forall \Gamma \in P_1).$$

WTS Φ is sensibly defined.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$. Certainly $S^{-1}P \triangleleft S^{-1}A$.

Suppose

$$\alpha \ , \ \beta \ \in \ S^{-1}A \qquad {\rm and} \qquad \alpha \ \beta \in S^{-1}P \ .$$

$$\mathsf{WTS} \quad \alpha \in S^{-1}P \quad \text{ or } \quad \beta \in S^{-1}P \; .$$

Now

$$\alpha = a/s, \ \beta = b/t \quad (\exists a, b \in A, \ s, t \in S).$$

Then

But P is prime and $u, v \notin P$, so either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$,

which proves

$$\alpha \ = \ a/s \ \in \ S^{-1}P \quad \text{or} \quad \beta \ = \ b/t \ \in \ S^{-1}P \ .$$

Thus $S^{-1}P$ is prime, so that Φ is sensibly defined.

WTS Φ is one-one.

Suppose $P_1\;,\;P_2\;\in\;\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\Phi(P_1)\;=\;\Phi(P_2)$, that is,

 $S^{-1}P_1 = S^{-1}P_2.$

If $x \in P_1$ then

$$x/1 = y/s \qquad (\exists y \in P_2, s \in S),$$

SO

$$(xs - y)t = 0 \qquad (\exists t \in S)$$

yielding

$$xst = yt \in P_2$$

whence $x \in P_2$ (since $s, t \notin P_2$). This shows $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ and similarly $P_2 \subseteq P_1$, whence equality. Thus Φ is one-one. WTS Φ is onto.

Suppose $\, Q \ \in \ \mathcal{P}_2$, so

$$Q^{\,\mathsf{c}} = f^{-1}(Q) \,\triangleleft\, A$$

is prime, being the preimage of a prime ideal by a ring homomorphism.

By (1), $Q^{ce} = Q$. If $s \in Q^c \cap S$ then $1/1 = (1/s)(s/1) = (1/s)f(s) \in Q^{ce} = Q$,

so $Q = S^{-1}A$, contradicting that Q is prime.

Hence $Q^{\,\mathsf{c}}\cap S \ = \ \emptyset$, so $Q^{\,\mathsf{c}} \ \in \ \mathcal{P}_1$

$\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\Phi(Q^{c}) = Q^{ce} = Q.$$

Thus Φ is onto, and the proof of **(4)** is complete.
(5) Let $I_1, I_2 \in A$. Then, by earlier exercises,
 $S^{-1}(I_1 + I_2) = (I_1 + I_2)^{e} = I_1^{e} + I_2^{e}$

$$= S^{-1}I_1 + S^{-1}I_2 ,$$

and

$$S^{-1}(I_1 I_2) = (I_1 I_2)^{\mathsf{e}} = I_1^{\mathsf{e}} I_2^{\mathsf{e}}$$
$$= (S^{-1} I_1) (S^{-1} I_2).$$

Further, regarding I_1 , I_2 as A-modules,

$$S^{-1}(I_1 \cap I_2) = (S^{-1}I_1) \cap (S^{-1}I_2),$$

by a recently proved theorem.

Suppose $I \lhd A$. Then, by an early exercise,

$$S^{-1} r(I) = [r(I)]^{e} \subseteq r(I^{e}) = r(S^{-1}I).$$

If $\alpha \in r(S^{-1}I)$ then

SO

$$lpha^n \in S^{-1}I$$
 $(\exists n \ge 1)$
that, writing $lpha = a/s$ $(\exists a \in A, s \in S)$,
 $a^n/s^n = b/t$ $(\exists b \in I)(\exists t \in S)$

SO

$$(a^n t - bs^n)u = 0 \qquad (\exists u \in S)$$

SO

$$(atu)^n = (a^n tu)(t^{n-1}u^{n-1}) = (bs^n u)t^{n-1}u^{n-1} \in I$$
,
so $atu \in r(I)$ and
 $\alpha = a/s = (atu)/(stu) \in S^{-1}(r(I))$.
Thus $r(S^{-1}I) \subseteq S^{-1}(r(I))$, so equality holds,

finally completing the proof of the Theorem.

Corollary: The nilradical of $S^{-1}A$ is $S^{-1}N$ where N is the nilradical of A.

Proof: By (5) of the previous Theorem,

$$S^{-1}N = S^{-1}(r(\{0\})) = r(S^{-1}\{0\})$$

$$= r(\{0/1\}),$$

which is the nilradical of $S^{-1}A$.

Corollary: Let P be a prime ideal of A. Then the prime ideals of the local ring A_P are in a one-one correspondence with the prime ideals of A contained in P.

Proof: By part **(4)** of the previous Theorem this correspondence arises, because

an ideal avoids
$$S~=~Aackslash P$$

iff

the ideal is contained in $\ P$.

These considerations tell us that

constructing A_P focuses attention on prime ideals ${\bf contained}$ in $\ P$.

On the other hand

constructing $A/P\,$ focuses attention on prime ideals containing P .

Suppose also that $\,Q\,$ is a prime ideal and $\,P\,\,\supseteq\,\,Q$.

To focus attention on prime ideals **between** P and Q suggests contructing the hybrid

$$S^{-1}A / S^{-1}Q \cong T^{-1}(A/Q) \qquad \cdots (*$$

(isomorphism proved below)

where
$$S = A \backslash P$$
 and $T = (A/Q) \backslash (P/Q)$.

In particular, if P = Q then

$$S^{-1}Q = \{ x/s \mid x \in P, s \in S \}$$

is the unique maximal ideal of $A_P = S^{-1}A$ and

$$T = \{ \text{ nonzero elements of } A/P \},$$

so (*) becomes

residue field of
$$A_P$$
 \cong field of fractions of the integral domain A/P .

Proof of (*) : Let $\phi: S^{-1}A \longrightarrow T^{-1}(A/Q)$ where

$$a/s \mapsto a+Q/s+Q \quad (a \in A, s \in S).$$

It is easy to check that ϕ is a well-defined, onto

ring homomorphism.

Clearly $S^{-1}Q \subseteq \ker \phi$. Suppose $a/s \in \ker \phi$. Then

$$a + Q / s + Q = Q / 1 + Q$$
,

SO

$$(a+Q)(t+Q) = Q \qquad (\exists t \in S) .$$

Hence

$$Q = at + Q$$
 yielding $at \in Q$.

But Q is prime and $t \not\in P \supseteq Q$. Thus $a \in Q$, so

$$a/s \in S^{-1}Q ,$$

which proves $\ker \phi = S^{-1}Q$.

The result (*) now follows by the Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem.