

2.5 Nakayama's Lemma

We will develop a criterion for a module to be trivial!

Theorem: Let M be a finitely generated A -module, $I \triangleleft A$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ such that

$$\phi(M) \subseteq I M .$$

Then ϕ is the root of a **monic** polynomial with **nonleading** coefficients from I .

Proof: Write $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Observe

$$IM = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m b_j y_j \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, b_j \in I, \right. \\ \left. y_j \in M \quad (\forall j) \right\}.$$

But each member of M is a linear combination of x_1, \dots, x_n , and I absorbs multiplication by any coefficient from A .

Hence

$$IM = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \mid c_i \in I \quad (\forall i) \right\} .$$

In particular, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, since $\phi(x_i) \in IM$,

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_j$$

for some $c_{ij} \in I \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$.

Thus ϕ corresponds to the matrix

$$C = [c_{ij}] .$$

Let $\chi(x)$ be the characteristic polynomial of C .

By the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem,

$$\chi(C) = 0$$

the **zero matrix**,

so

$$\chi(\phi) = 0$$

the **zero mapping**, when evaluated in the ring $\text{Hom}_A(M, M)$. This proves the Theorem.

Corollary: Let M be a finitely generated A -module and $I \triangleleft A$ such that $IM = M$. Then

$$xM = \{0\} \quad \text{for some } x \in 1 + I.$$

Proof: Let $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ be the identity mapping.
Then

$$\phi(M) = M = I M ,$$

so, by the previous Theorem,

for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in I$,

$$\phi^n + a_1 \phi^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \phi + a_n 1 = 0$$

↑
note monic

identity mapping zero mapping

Hence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (1 + a_1 + \dots + a_n) \phi & = & 0 \\
 \uparrow & \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}} & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \text{identity element} & & \text{element} & & \text{zero} \\
 \text{of } A & & \text{of } I & & \text{mapping}
 \end{array}$$

Put $x = 1 + a_1 + \dots + a_n \in 1 + I$.

Thus

$$xM = x\phi(M) = (x\phi)(M) = \{0\},$$

since $x\phi$ is the zero mapping.

Theorem (Nakayama's Lemma):

Let M be a finitely generated A -module and $I \triangleleft A$ such that $I \subseteq R$ (the Jacobson radical). Then

$$I M = M \implies M = \{0\} .$$

First proof: Suppose $I M = M$.

By the previous Corollary, $xM = \{0\}$ for some $x \in 1 + I \subseteq 1 + R$.

But $1 + R$ consists of units

(an early observation about the Jacobson radical),

so

$$\{0\} = x^{-1} \{0\} = x^{-1}(xM) = 1 M = M.$$

Second proof: Suppose $M \neq \{0\}$.

Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be a minimal set of generators of M (since M is finitely generated).

If $u_n \in IM$ then

$$u_n = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in I$, so

$$(1 - a_n) u_n = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} u_{n-1}$$

so

$$u_n = (1 - a_n)^{-1} (a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} u_{n-1})$$

(since $1 - a_n \in 1 + R$ is invertible)

which contradicts the minimality of the generating set.

Hence $u_n \notin I M$, so $I M \neq M$, and Nakayama's Lemma is proved.

Corollary: Let M be a finitely generated A -module, N a submodule of M and $I \triangleleft A$ such that $I \subseteq R$ (the Jacobson radical). Then

$$M = I M + N \implies M = N .$$

Proof: Suppose $M = IM + N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I (M/N) &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (m_i + N) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a_i \in I, \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. m_i \in M \quad \forall i \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i m_i \right) + N \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a_i \in I, \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. m_i \in M \quad \forall i \right\} \\
 &= (IM + N)/N = M/N .
 \end{aligned}$$

By Nakayama's Lemma,

$$M/N = \text{zero module},$$

that is, $M = N$.

Consider now a local ring A , with maximal ideal I , and put

$$F = A/I,$$

the **residue field** of A .

Let M be a finitely generated A -module.

Then IM is a submodule of M and

$$I(M/IM) = (IM + IM)/IM = IM/IM ,$$

the zero module, so

$$I \subseteq \text{Ann} (M/IM)$$

so

M/IM becomes an A/I -module

so

M/IM becomes a vector space over F .

Further, M/IM is finitely generated
(because M is),

so

M/IM is finite dimensional.

Theorem: Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ be such that

$$\{ x_1 + IM, \dots, x_n + IM \}$$

is a basis for the vector space M/IM .

Then

$$M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle.$$

Proof: Put $N = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M/IM &= \langle x_1 + IM, \dots, x_n + IM \rangle \\ &= F(x_1 + IM) + \dots + F(x_n + IM) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (A/I)(x_i + IM) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i + IM) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M/IM &= \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right) + IM \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \right\} \\ &= (N + IM)/IM . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M = N + IM$, so $M = N$, by the previous Corollary.