

**Subspace topology**

Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a topological space. That is,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  satisfying

(T1)  $X, \emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

(T2) whenever  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{U}$ , and

(T3) whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$ .

(Note that (T3) is equivalent to the condition that the intersection of any finite collection of elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  is in  $\mathcal{U}$ , as can easily be proved by induction.)

Suppose now that  $S$  is any subset of  $X$ , and put  $\mathcal{V} = \{S \cap A \mid A \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . It is not hard to prove that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a topology on  $S$ . Firstly, since  $S \subseteq X$  we have  $S \cap X = S$ , while it is trivial that  $S \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ . Since  $X, \emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$  (since  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfies (T1)), it follows that  $S, \emptyset \in \mathcal{V}$ . So (T1) holds for  $\mathcal{V}$ . Next, suppose that  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of sets in  $\mathcal{V}$ . For each  $i \in I$  there is an  $A_i \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $B_i = S \cap A_i$ . Now  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{U}$ , by (T2) for  $\mathcal{U}$ , and since

$$S \cap \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} S \cap A_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$$

it follows that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i \in \mathcal{V}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies (T2). Finally, if  $P, Q$  are arbitrary elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  then  $P = S \cap A$  and  $Q = S \cap B$  for some  $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$ , and we see that

$$P \cap Q = (S \cap A) \cap (S \cap B) = S \cap (A \cap B) \in \mathcal{V}$$

since  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$ . So  $\mathcal{V}$  also satisfies (T3), and thus is a topology on  $S$ .

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a topological space and  $S$  a subset of  $X$ . The topology  $\mathcal{V}$  on the set  $S$  defined by  $\mathcal{V} = \{S \cap A \mid A \in \mathcal{U}\}$  (as above) is called the topology on  $S$  induced by the topology  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$ . A *topological subspace* of  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is a topological space of the form  $(S, \mathcal{V})$ , where  $S$  is a subset of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  the induced topology. The induced topology is also sometimes called the *relative topology*, or the *subspace topology*. A subset of  $X$  is said to be *open relative to  $S$*  if it is an open set of the subspace topology (so that it is of the form  $S \cap A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ ).

Recall that if  $(X, d)$  is a metric space then there is a standard topology on  $X$  derived from the metric: it consists of those subsets  $U$  of  $X$  such that for all  $a \in U$  there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_d(a, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$ . Furthermore, if  $S$  is any subset of  $X$  and  $d'$  the restriction of  $d$  to  $S$ , then  $(S, d')$  is a metric space. (We call  $d'$  the metric induced by  $d$ .) Now we can obtain a topology on  $S$  in either of two ways: the topology on  $X$  derived from the metric  $d$  induces a topology  $\mathcal{V}_\infty$  on  $S$ , and there is a topology  $\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon$  on  $S$  derived from the induced metric  $d'$ . One would hope that  $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2$ , and this is indeed true. On the one hand, suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{V}_2$ . This means that for all  $a \in A$  there is a positive number  $\mu_a$  such that  $B_{d'}(a, \mu_a) \subseteq A$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} B_{d'}(a, \mu_a) &= \{x \in S \mid d'(x, a) < \mu_a\} = \{x \in S \mid d(x, a) < \mu_a\} \\ &= S \cap \{x \in X \mid d(x, a) < \mu_a\} = S \cap B_d(a, \mu_a); \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} B_{d'}(a, \mu_a)$  (since  $a \in B_{d'}(a, \mu_a)$  for each  $a$ ), and  $\bigcup_{a \in A} B_{d'}(a, \mu_a) \subseteq A$  (since  $B_{d'}(a, \mu_a) \subseteq A$  for each  $a$ , by the choice of  $\mu_a$ ). Thus

$$A = \bigcup_{a \in A} B_{d'}(a, \mu_a) = \bigcup_{a \in A} S \cap B_d(a, \mu_a) = S \cap \bigcup_{a \in A} B_d(a, \mu_a),$$

which is an open set of the induced topology  $\mathcal{V}_1$ , since  $\bigcup_{a \in A} B_d(a, \mu_a)$  is an open subset of  $X$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{V}_1$ , so that  $A = S \cap U$  for some open subset  $U$  of  $X$ . Since  $U$  is open, for each  $a \in U$  there is a  $\mu > 0$  such that  $B_d(a, \mu) \subseteq U$ ; in particular, such a  $\mu$  exists for each  $a \in A$  (since  $A \subseteq U$ ), and we find that

$$B_d(a, \mu) = S \cap B_d(a, \mu) \subseteq S \cap U = A,$$

which shows that  $A \in \mathcal{V}_2$ .

The following result (for metric spaces) appears as Theorem 3.1 on p. 52 of Choo's notes. Note, however, that there is a misprint: the important assumption that  $f$  is continuous was accidentally omitted. We prove the result here in the more general context of topological spaces.

**Proposition.** *Let  $X, Y$  be topological spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous mapping. Let  $S$  be any subspace of  $X$ , and  $f_S: S \rightarrow Y$  the restriction of  $f$ . Then  $f_S$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $Y$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} f_S^{-1}(U) &= \{x \in S \mid f_S(x) \in U\} = \{x \in S \mid f(x) \in U\} \\ &= S \cap \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in U\} = S \cap f^{-1}(U). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $f^{-1}(U)$  is an open subset of  $X$  since  $U$  is open in  $Y$  and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. So  $S \cap f^{-1}(U)$  is an open subset of  $S$  (in the subspace topology). Thus we have shown that  $f_S^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $S$  whenever  $U$  is open in  $Y$ ; hence  $f_S$  is continuous.  $\square$

A similarly straightforward result says that the composite of two continuous functions is always continuous.

**Proposition.** *If  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are topological spaces, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  continuous functions, then the function  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  (defined by  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ ) is continuous.*

*Proof.* Our task is to show that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$  whenever  $U$  is open in  $Z$ .

Let  $U \subseteq Z$  be open. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (g \circ f)^{-1}(U) &= \{x \in X \mid (g \circ f)(x) \in U\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid g(f(x)) \in U\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in g^{-1}(U)\} \\ &= f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is continuous and  $U$  is open it follows that  $g^{-1}(U)$  is open. Now since  $f$  is continuous it follows that  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$  is open. So we have shown that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$  is open whenever  $U$  is open, as required.  $\square$

## Bases

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces then there is a natural way to make the Cartesian product  $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}$  into a topological space. Before we can discuss this we need to introduce another concept.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of open subsets of  $X$  is called a *base* for the topology on  $X$  if every open set can be expressed as a union of sets in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Example.** In  $\mathbb{R}$  the open intervals form a base for the topology. More generally, in any metric space the open balls form a base for the topology. To prove this one must show that every open set is expressible as a union of the open balls. The proof of this was incorporated in one of our proofs above, but it will do us no harm to repeat it!

**Proposition.** *If  $X$  is a metric space and  $U \subseteq X$  is open, then  $U$  is the union of the open balls it contains.*

*Proof.* On the one hand, the union of all the open balls contained in  $U$  is obviously a subset of  $U$ ; on the other, if  $x \in U$  is arbitrary then  $x \in \text{Int}(U)$  (as every point of an open set is an interior point), hence  $x$  lies in an open ball contained in  $U$ , and hence  $x$  is in the union of all the open balls contained in  $U$ .  $\square$

In many cases when it is desirable to make a set into a topological space, the most convenient way to do so is to specify a base for the topology, rather than attempt to describe all open sets directly. The situation with metric spaces illustrates this: open sets are defined in terms of open balls. One could perhaps manage to give a reasonable discussion of metric spaces without using the concept of an open set, but one could not sensibly avoid talking about open balls.

Note that a base for a topology determines the topology uniquely: there cannot be two different topologies on one set  $X$  sharing a common base  $\mathcal{B}$ . This is because the open sets of the topology can be characterized as those sets that are unions of sets in  $\mathcal{B}$ . (The definition of the concept of a base says that all open sets are unions of sets in  $\mathcal{B}$ ; on the other hand, since the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  are themselves open sets and the union of any collection of open sets is open, it is also true that every set which is a union of sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  is an open set.) However, it is not the case that every collection of subsets of an arbitrary set  $X$  can serve as a base for a topology on  $X$ . This is because the intersection of two open sets has to be open, and it is clear that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is an arbitrary collection of subsets of  $X$  then there is no guarantee that the intersection of any two elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  will be expressible as a union of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Provided that the collection  $\mathcal{B}$  does have this property, and provided that the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  cover  $X$ , then it will be the case that the collection  $\mathcal{B}$  determines a topology on  $X$ .